



THE NCSTM
The National Citizen SurveyTM

Cañon City, CO

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300
Boulder, Colorado 80301
n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



Leaders at the Core of Better Communities

777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500
Washington, DC 20002
icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents’ opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Cañon City’s performance in the eight facets of community livability with the “General” rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The “Overall” represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Cañon City’s community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Resident ratings for items within the pillars of Community Characteristics and Governance tended to be similar to or lower than the national comparison, while levels of Participation were similar to those observed in other communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

| | Community Characteristics | | | Governance | | | Participation | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|---------------|---------|-------|
| | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower |
| Overall | 0 | 17 | 34 | 0 | 14 | 31 | 2 | 29 | 4 |
| General | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Safety | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Mobility | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Natural Environment | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Built Environment | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Economy | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Recreation and Wellness | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Education and Enrichment | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 |

| National Benchmark | |
|--------------------|---------|
| | Higher |
| | Similar |
| | Lower |

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|
| General | Overall appearance | ↔ | ↓ | 47% | Customer service | ↓ | ↓ | 47% | Recommend Cañon City | ↔ | ↓ | 69% |
| | Overall quality of life | ↔ | ↓ | 60% | Services provided by Cañon City | ↓ | ↓ | 43% | Remain in Cañon City | ↔ | ↔ | 83% |
| | Place to retire | ↔ | ↔ | 69% | Services provided by the Federal Government | ↔ | ↔ | 30% | Contacted Cañon City employees | ↔ | ↔ | 43% |
| | Place to raise children | ↔ | ↓ | 56% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to live | ↔ | ↓ | 68% | | | | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood | ↔ | ↔ | 70% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall image | ↔ | ↓↓ | 31% | | | | | | | | |
| Safety | Overall feeling of safety | ↔ | ↓ | 63% | Police | ↔ | ↓ | 57% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 82% |
| | Safe in neighborhood | ↔ | ↔ | 87% | Crime prevention | ↔ | ↓ | 41% | Did NOT report a crime | ↔ | ↔ | 69% |
| | Safe downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↔ | 85% | Fire | ↔ | ↔ | 87% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | ↔ | ↔ | 30% |
| | | | | | Fire prevention | ↔ | ↔ | 66% | | | | |
| | | | | | Ambulance/EMS | ↔ | ↔ | 79% | | | | |
| | | | | | Emergency preparedness | ↓ | ↓↓ | 29% | | | | |
| | | | | Animal control | ↔ | ↔ | 47% | | | | | |
| Mobility | Traffic flow | ↔ | ↓ | 29% | Traffic enforcement | ↔ | ↓ | 44% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | ↔ | ↔ | 40% |
| | Travel by car | ↔ | ↔ | 48% | Street repair | ↔ | ↓↓ | 11% | Walked or biked instead of driving | ↔ | ↔ | 56% |
| | Travel by bicycle | ↔ | ↓ | 37% | Street cleaning | ↔ | ↓↓ | 32% | | | | |
| | Ease of walking | ↔ | ↔ | 54% | Street lighting | ↔ | ↓ | 39% | | | | |
| | Overall ease travel | ↔ | ↓ | 62% | Snow removal | ↔ | ↓ | 39% | | | | |
| | Public parking | ↓ | ↓ | 36% | Sidewalk maintenance | ↔ | ↓↓ | 17% | | | | |
| | Paths and walking trails | ↔ | ↔ | 71% | Traffic signal timing | ↔ | ↓↓ | 17% | | | | |
| Natural Environment | Overall natural environment | ↔ | ↔ | 76% | Garbage collection | ↔ | ↔ | 81% | Recycled at home | ↔ | ↓ | 67% |
| | Air quality | ↔ | ↔ | 84% | Recycling | ↓ | ↓↓ | 43% | Conserved water | ↔ | ↔ | 85% |
| | Cleanliness | ↔ | ↓ | 56% | Yard waste pick-up | ↔ | ↓↓ | 39% | Made home more energy efficient | ↔ | ↔ | 75% |
| | | | | | Drinking water | ↔ | ↔ | 61% | | | | |
| | | | | | Open space | ↔ | ↔ | 46% | | | | |
| | | | | Natural areas preservation | ↓ | ↔ | 46% | | | | | |
| Built Environment | New development in Cañon City | ↓ | ↓↓ | 18% | Sewer services | ↔ | ↔ | 69% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | ↔ | ↔ | 72% |
| | Affordable quality housing | ↓ | ↓ | 15% | Storm drainage | ↑ | ↓↓ | 32% | Did NOT observe a code violation | ↔ | ↓↓ | 31% |
| | Housing options | ↓ | ↓↓ | 19% | Power utility | ↔ | ↓ | 63% | | | | |
| | Overall built environment | ↔ | ↓ | 44% | Utility billing | ↔ | ↔ | 59% | | | | |
| | Public places | ↔ | ↓ | 49% | Land use, planning and zoning | ↓ | ↓↓ | 16% | | | | |
| | | | | | Code enforcement | ↔ | ↓↓ | 18% | | | | |
| | | | | Cable television | ↓ | ↓ | 24% | | | | | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available

The National Citizen Survey™

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------------|
| Economy | Overall economic health | ↔ | ↓↓ | 20% | Economic development | ↓ | ↓↓ | 14% | Economy will have positive impact on income | ↔ | ↔ | 28% |
| | Shopping opportunities | ↔ | ↓↓ | 16% | | | | | Purchased goods or services in Cañon City | ↔ | ↔ | 93% |
| | Employment opportunities | ↔ | ↓↓ | 12% | | | | | Work in Cañon City | ↔ | ↔ | 43% |
| | Place to visit | ↔ | ↔ | 64% | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of living | ↔ | ↔ | 32% | | | | | | | | |
| | Vibrant downtown/commercial area | ↔ | ↓↓ | 22% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to work | ↔ | ↓↓ | 28% | | | | | | | | |
| Recreation and Wellness | Business and services | ↔ | ↓ | 34% | | | | | | | | |
| | Fitness opportunities | ↔ | ↔ | 63% | City parks | ↔ | ↔ | 78% | In very good to excellent health | ↔ | ↔ | 50% |
| | Recreational opportunities | ↓ | ↔ | 59% | Recreation centers | ↔ | ↓ | 41% | Used Cañon City recreation centers | ↔ | ↔ | 63% |
| | Health care | ↓ | ↓↓ | 28% | Recreation programs | ↔ | ↔ | 54% | Visited a City park | ↔ | ↔ | 91% |
| | Food | ↓ | ↓ | 45% | Health services | ↔ | ↓↓ | 35% | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | ↔ | ↔ | 74% |
| | Mental health care | ↔ | ↓ | 22% | | | | | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | ↔ | ↔ | 81% |
| | Health and wellness | ↔ | ↓ | 41% | | | | | | | | |
| Education and Enrichment | Preventive health services | ↓ | ↓↓ | 29% | | | | | | | | |
| | K-12 education | ↔ | ↓ | 49% | Public libraries | ↔ | ↔ | 78% | Used Cañon City public libraries | ↔ | ↔ | 62% |
| | Cultural/arts/music activities | ↔ | ↔ | 43% | Special events | ↓ | ↓ | 46% | Participated in religious or spiritual activities | ↔ | ↔ | 44% |
| | Child care/preschool | ↓ | ↓↓ | 22% | | | | | Attended a City-sponsored event | ↔ | ↑ | 66% |
| | Religious or spiritual events and activities | ↓ | ↔ | 68% | | | | | | | | |
| | Adult education | ↔ | ↓ | 30% | | | | | | | | |
| Community Engagement | Overall education and enrichment | ↔ | ↓↓ | 31% | | | | | | | | |
| | Opportunities to participate in community matters | ↔ | ↓ | 47% | Public information | ↔ | ↓ | 47% | Sense of community | ↔ | ↓ | 35% |
| | Opportunities to volunteer | ↔ | ↔ | 63% | Overall direction | ↓ | ↓↓ | 24% | Voted in local elections | ↔ | ↔ | 88% |
| | Openness and acceptance | ↓ | ↓↓ | 26% | Value of services for taxes paid | ↔ | ↓ | 26% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | ↔ | ↔ | 93% |
| | Social events and activities | ↔ | ↔ | 52% | Welcoming citizen involvement | ↓ | ↓↓ | 22% | Attended a local public meeting | ↔ | ↔ | 25% |
| | Neighborliness | ↔ | ↓ | 41% | Confidence in City government | ↓ | ↓↓ | 19% | Watched a local public meeting | ↔ | ↔ | 22% |
| | | | | | Acting in the best interest of Cañon City | ↔ | ↓↓ | 20% | Volunteered | ↑ | ↑ | 50% |
| | | | | | Being honest | ↓ | ↓↓ | 19% | Participated in a club | ↔ | ↔ | 34% |
| | | | | | Treating all residents fairly | ↓ | ↓↓ | 22% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | ↔ | ↔ | 30% |
| | | | | | | | | | Contacted Cañon City elected officials | ↔ | ↔ | 21% |
| | | | | | | | | Read or watched local news | ↓ | ↔ | 76% | |
| | | | | | | | | Done a favor for a neighbor | ↔ | ↔ | 84% | |

Legend

↑↑ Much higher ↑ Higher ↔ Similar ↓ Lower ↓↓ Much lower * Not available