

Cañon City, CO

Trends over Time

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2017 ratings for the City of Cañon City to its previous survey results in 2016. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Cañon City represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than six percentage points between the 2016 and 2017 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2016 and 2017 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Cañon City for 2017 generally remained stable. Of the 131 items for which comparisons were available, 112 items were rated similarly in 2016 and 2017, 15 items showed a decrease in ratings and four showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Resident evaluations of the overall quality of life in Cañon City, as well as the city as a place to retire and to
 raise children decreased over time. Residents were also less likely in 2017 to recommend living in the city to
 someone who asked.
- Within Safety, ratings decreased since 2016 for the overall feeling of safety in Cañon City, police services and crime prevention. Residents were also more likely to report being the victim of a crime in 2017.
- Residents in 2017 were less pleased with the cost of living and Cañon City as a place to work than in 2016.
- Ratings increased over time for ease of travel by bicycle and ease of walking in the city, as well as for recreational opportunities and public information services.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent rating positivel	y (e.g., excellent/good)		Comparison to benchmark	
	2016	2017	2017 rating compared to 2016	2016	2017
Overall quality of life	66%	59%	Lower	Lower	Lower
Overall image	39%	36%	Similar	Lower	Much lower
Place to live	71%	66%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Neighborhood	74%	68%	Similar	Similar	Lower
Place to raise children	66%	59%	Lower	Lower	Lower
Place to retire	76%	64%	Lower	Similar	Similar
Overall appearance	53%	52%	Similar	Lower	Lower

		excellent/good	positively (e.g., , very/somewhat afe)	2017 rating compared to		rison to nmark
		2016	2017	2016	2016	2017
	Overall feeling of safety	76%	63%	Lower	Similar	Lower
	Safe in neighborhood	92%	86%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	85%	83%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Overall ease of travel	69%	63%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Paths and walking trails	66%	70%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Ease of walking	44%	57%	Higher	Lower	Simila
	Travel by bicycle	27%	41%	Higher	Lower	Simila
	Travel by car	53%	52%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Public parking	40%	44%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Mobility	Traffic flow	34%	30%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Overall natural environment	76%	74%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Natural	Cleanliness	61%	58%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
Environment	Air quality	86%	88%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Overall built environment	46%	44%	Similar	Similar	Simila
					Much	Much
	New development in Cañon City	23%	25%	Similar	lower	lower
	Affordable quality housing	25%	26%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
Built	Housing options	27%	27%	Similar	Lower Similar Much lower	Lowe
Environment	Public places	51%	52%	Similar		Simila
	Overall economic health	24%	23%	Similar		Much lower
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	23%	26%	Similar		Lowe
	Business and services	35%	37%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Cost of living	42%	35%	Lower	Similar	Simila
	Shopping opportunities	16%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Much
					Much	
	Employment opportunities	11%	13%	Similar	lower	Lowe
	Place to visit	69%	67%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Economy	Place to work	35%	29%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower
200	Health and wellness	47%	46%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Mental health care	30%	24%	Lower	Similar	Lowe
	Preventive health services	38%	37%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Health care	36%	37%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Food	53%	52%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Recreation	Recreational opportunities	59%	65%	Higher	Similar	Simila
and Wellness	Fitness opportunities	62%	67%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	78%	76%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Cultural/arts/music activities	47%	46%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Adult education	37%	35%	Similar		
	K-12 education	53%	35% 47%	Lower	Lower	Lowe
Education and			/1 / 5/6	I OWA	LUMACE	Lowe

		excellent/good	positively (e.g., , very/somewhat afe)	2017 rating compared to		rison to nmark
		2016	2017	2016	2016	2017
	Social events and activities	46%	49%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Neighborliness	43%	45%	Similar	Lower	Lower
	Openness and acceptance	31%	35%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
Community	Opportunities to participate in community matters	52%	48%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	65%	61%	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)		2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark	
	2016	2017	2016	2016	2017
Services provided by Cañon City	50%	50%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Customer service	58%	54%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Value of services for taxes paid	33%	30%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Overall direction	29%	31%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Welcoming citizen involvement	30%	31%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Confidence in City government	26%	27%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Acting in the best interest of Cañon City	29%	26%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Being honest	38%	29%	Lower	Lower	Lower
Treating all residents fairly	34%	30%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Services provided by the Federal Government	31%	35%	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

			positively (e.g., nt/good)	2017 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark	
		2016	2017	to 2016	2016	2017
	Police	71%	62%	Lower	Similar	Lower
	Fire	89%	91%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Ambulance/EMS	82%	83%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Crime prevention	53%	46%	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Fire prevention	68%	70%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Animal control	47%	46%	Similar	Similar	Lowe
Safety	Emergency preparedness	40%	37%	Similar	Lower	Lower
	Traffic enforcement	49%	50%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Street repair	7%	10%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
	Street cleaning	32%	30%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Street lighting	38%	39%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Snow removal	39%	38%	Similar	Lower	Lowe
	Sidewalk maintenance	19%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
Mobility	Traffic signal timing	21%	19%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
	Garbage collection	82%	78%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Recycling	50%	50%	Similar	Much lower	Lowe
	Yard waste pick-up	40%	37%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
	Drinking water	68%	65%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Natural	Natural areas preservation	50%	53%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Environment	Open space	47%	51%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Built	Storm drainage	29%	24%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
Environment	Sewer services	73%	71%	Similar	Similar	Simila

			positively (e.g., nt/good)	2017 rating compared		rison to nmark
		2016	2017	to 2016	2016	2017
	Power utility	64%	60%	Similar	Similar	Lower
	Utility billing	59%	59%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	25%	25%	Similar	Lower	Lower
	Code enforcement	17%	18%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
	Cable television	31%	35%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Economy	Economic development	17%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
	City parks	78%	79%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Recreation programs	52%	57%	Similar	Lower	Similar
Recreation	Recreation centers	41%	41%	Similar	Much lower	Lower
and Wellness	Health services	42%	39%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Education and	Special events	52%	53%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Enrichment	Public libraries	68%	73%	Similar	Lower	Similar
Community Engagement	Public information	42%	48%	Higher	Lower	Lower

Table 5: Participation General

		g., always/sometimes, more month, yes)	2017 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark	
	2016	2017	to 2016	2016	2017
Sense of community	41%	40%	Similar	Lower	Lower
Recommend Cañon City	77%	69%	Lower	Similar	Lower
Remain in Cañon City	82%	81%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Contacted Cañon City employees	38%	42%	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		always/sometimes	positively (e.g., s, more than once a h, yes)	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark	
		2016	2017	2016	2016	2017
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	34%	35%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Did NOT report a crime	68%	65%	Similar	Similar	Lower
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	86%	77%	Lower	Similar	Lower
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	37%	38%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	58%	55%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Conserved water	83%	80%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Made home more energy efficient	79%	72%	Lower	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	68%	66%	Similar	Lower	Much lower
Built	Did NOT observe a code violation	26%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower
Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	72%	71%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Purchased goods or services in Cañon City	96%	96%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	19%	23%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Work in Cañon City	50%	47%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Used Cañon City recreation centers	59%	61%	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Visited a City park	91%	91%	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and Wellness	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	78%	78%	Similar	Similar	Similar

			positively (e.g., , more than once a n, yes)	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark	
		2016	2017	2016	2016	2017
	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	78%	83%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	In very good to excellent health	56%	50%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Used Cañon City public libraries	54%	59%	Similar	Lower	Simila
Education	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	53%	48%	Similar	Similar	Simila
and Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	65%	64%	Similar	Higher	Simila
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	26%	31%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Contacted Cañon City elected officials	17%	22%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Volunteered	49%	42%	Lower	Similar	Simila
	Participated in a club	31%	31%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	93%	92%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Done a favor for a neighbor	84%	84%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Attended a local public meeting	21%	21%	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Watched a local public meeting	20%	19%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Community	Read or watched local news	86%	83%	Similar	Similar	Simila
Engagement	Voted in local elections	85%	88%	Similar	Similar	Simila