



CITY OF CAÑON CITY

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

DESIGN/BUILD STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (REFERENDUM #2A) 2018

January 26, 2018

RFP schedule:

January 26 – March 20, 2018	RFP out
February 27, 2018	Pre-proposal Meeting (MANDATORY)
March 6, 2018	Final Day for One-on-One Meeting Request
March 13, 2018	Final Day for Request for Clarification & ATC Submittal
March 20, 2018	RFP Due
March 21 – March 28, 2018	RFP Review
April 2, 2018	RFP Award
April 30 - September 31, 2018	Design/Construction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

- 1.1. Background
- 1.2. Description
- 1.3. Objectives

2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

- 2.1. Design/Build Services
- 2.2. City Staff Involvement

3 PROJECT FUNDING

- 3.1. Funds Available
- 3.2. Guaranteed Maximum Price

4 PROPOSAL PROCESS

- 4.1. Pre-Proposal Meetings/One-on-One Meetings
- 4.2. Pre-Proposal Submittals
- 4.3. Proposal Structure, Format, and Quantities
- 4.4. Submission of Proposals
- 4.5. Evaluation of Proposals
- 4.6. Authorization of City Council

5 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

- 5.1. Executive Summary
- 5.2. Proposer Information/Qualifications and Certifications
- 5.3. Technical Proposal
- 5.4. Project Plans, ATC(s), and Schedule
- 5.5. Price Proposal

6 PROCUREMENT REQUIREMENTS

- 6.1. Receipt of Request for Proposals Documents and Other Notices
- 6.2. Examination and Interpretation of Request for Proposals Documents
- 6.3. Addenda
- 6.4. Improper Conduct
- 6.5. Withdrawal of Proposal After Proposal Due Date
- 6.6. Responsive Proposal
- 6.7. Ownership of Proposals
- 6.8. Colorado Open Records Act
- 6.9. Escrowed Proposal Documents
- 6.10. Protests
- 6.11. Ex Parte Communications
- 6.12. Project Rights and Disclaimers
- 6.13. Contract Execution

7 TECHNICAL PROPOSAL CONTENTS & EVALUATION CRITERIA

- 7.1. Minimize Impacts to the Traveling Public
- 7.2. Innovative Pavement Solutions
- 7.3. Complete Work on Time or Ahead of Schedule
- 7.4. Optimize Scope of Improvements within Project Budget
- 7.5. Optimize Life-Cycle Maintenance Costs
- 7.6. Technical Proposal Scoring

8 INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO PROPOSERS

- 8.1. Major Thoroughfares Priority Reconstruction Plan
- 8.2. Cartegraph Street & Water Utility Asset Data
- 8.3. GIS Base Layers
- 8.4. Data Disclaimer

9 DESIGN GUIDELINES AND CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

- 9.1. Project Description
- 9.2. Performance Period
- 9.3. Site Selection and Work Approach
- 9.4. Contractor's Initial Overall Submittal Package
- 9.5. Contract Design and Drawings
- 9.6. Plan & Design Specification Sets
- 9.7. Construction
- 9.8. Document Deliverables

10 PROPOSAL & CONTRACT FORMS

11 SPECIFICATIONS & MAJOR THOROUGHFARES PRIORITY RECONSTRUCTION PLAN

1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

1.1. BACKGROUND

The City's street network system consists of over 115 paved street centerline miles. The average age of the street network is 55 years.

The network of paved roads within the City of Cañon City had been without a comprehensive maintenance/rehabilitation plan since the City's incorporation in 1872, with the exception of a street condition survey performed in 1995 and a reconstruction plan completed in 2002. At that time it was determined that our reconstruction schedule was on a 133 year cycle. While the City has grown to its current population of 16,000+, increased demand has been placed on the road system. Many roads experience traffic counts beyond their intended design, furthering the deterioration of the road surface. With a limited budget for road maintenance, identifying a solution to maintaining the City's road system became a priority. A pavement condition assessment and management study was completed in 2014.

Due to the lack of a comprehensive and systematic maintenance program of the City's road system over a number of years, the City's average Overall Condition Index (OCI) has dropped to 37 on a scale of 0-100, 100 being a new street and 0 being a street needing full reconstruction. Currently only 25% of the roadways are rated at an OCI above 70, which is satisfactory to good or excellent condition.

In November 2016, the citizens of Cañon City gave a vote of confidence approving a 1% sales tax increase to be spent specifically on the reconstruction of roadways. This same proposal had failed on three previous occasions. The new tax will sunset in ten years so performance at the best value is imperative to the public and the success of this pavement maintenance program.

The first phase of the Street Improvement Project was completed in 2017 by Kiewit Infrastructure Inc. In 2017 over 94,000 SY of pavement was reconstructed and 4000 LF of water main replaced at the cost of \$8.5 million, utilizing an inter-fund loan from the City General and Water Fund budgets.

1.2. DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is the analysis, design and reconstruction or overlay of strategically selected streets throughout the City. Estimates currently are reconstruction/overlay of 2.5 centerline miles in 2018. This will include ancillary work including water main replacement, handicap ramp installation, curb and gutter replacement/installation and other minor improvements.

The City anticipates awarding one contract this year. Pricing established as part of a contract shall be firm and fixed for the duration of the contract. Should alternative funding sources be used for additional work, contract extensions will be negotiated using the pricing established as part of the contract awarded under this RFP.

1.3.OBJECTIVES

The Project goals have been established for execution of the Project and are the basis for evaluation of the Proposal.

The following Project Goals and objectives have been established for the project:

- 1) Minimize impacts to travel public.
- 2) Innovative pavement solutions.
- 3) Complete work on time or ahead of schedule.
- 4) Optimize scope of improvements within project budget.
- 5) Optimize life-cycle maintenance costs.

2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

2.1. DESIGN/BUILD SERVICES

The City desires the best possible combination of design and building construction services for this project. The successful team will be responsible for ALL disciplines required for the design, engineering, cost estimating, and construction services necessary for completion of the project from conceptual design through project close out. The successful team will be responsible for meeting all state and local licensing requirements in the design and construction of the streets. The standards referenced and included in this RFP are intended to establish the expectations the City has for this project regarding quality of materials and workmanship. The City will NOT be paying for utility relocation/modifications except water as a part of this contract however the utility replacement/modifications will need to be coordinated with the design of the streets. The successful team shall include coordination with the utilities in the scope of services. It is the intent of the City to have the successful team furnish and install all materials necessary for the completion of the project. The successful team will be responsible for preparing and submitting required documentation for the design review process, coordinating any revisions necessary to the documents and making presentations to obtain necessary approvals and permits for the project. The successful team will be responsible throughout the design process for using analysis techniques to identify challenges, evaluate strategies and quantify economic benefits. The team will work with the City project team to choose high performance strategies that are within the project budget and based on life-cycle costs. The team will provide reports summarizing assumptions findings and recommendations.

2.2. CITY STAFF INVOLVEMENT

The successful team will make presentations to City representatives for design of the project and construction management for City approval.

The City will be providing its own Project Representative.

3 PROJECT FUNDING

3.1. FUNDS AVAILABLE

The City has obtained and appropriated funding for the design and construction of the project in 2018 as follows:

Dedicated Sales Tax Expenditure 2018:	\$3,500,000	
Park Improvement Fund:	\$120,000	(max for Centennial Park)
Quality of Life Fund:	\$90,000	
Water Fund:	\$1,000,000	(max for waterline work)
Total funding 2018:	\$4,550,000	

3.2. GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE (GMP)

The Work required for the Basic Configuration of the Project shall not exceed the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) of **\$4,550,000**, unless accepted subject to the provisions of a Best and Final Offer. The Sub-Account Fund totals cannot be exceeded as illustrated above and all costs associated with work from those funds must be accounted for such as design, traffic control, etc.

4 PROPOSAL PROCESS

The City accepts no responsibility or liability for any costs incurred by any Proposer associated with the submittal and selection process. All materials submitted will become the property of the City. The proposal shall remain subject to acceptance for 90 days after the proposal due date.

4.1. PRE-PROPOSAL MEETINGS/ONE-ON-ONE MEETINGS

The City will offer up one confidential meeting during the Industry Review to a few select Proposers and two confidential meetings during Final RFP phase for each of the Proposers.

The One-on-One meetings shall be utilized by all Proposers to provide the opportunity for comments and clarifications of the RFP and for presentation of Alternative Technical Concepts (ATCs) and Pre-Accepted Elements (PAEs). The Industry Review meetings will be held primarily to solicit comments on the Draft RFP. The Proposers may discuss potential ATCs and PAEs during the Industry Review meeting, but are cautioned that as the Final RFP is finalized issues and topics discussed may not be considered proprietary and could be included in the Final RFP.

One-on-one meetings held after the issuance of the Final RFP will be primarily to present and discuss proprietary and confidential ATCs and PAEs. Subject to applicable law, the City will use reasonable efforts to maintain confidentiality during the Proposal process. The foregoing shall not preclude the City from using ideas in accordance with the Contract Documents.

Each meeting shall be no longer in duration than two (2) hours.

The Proposer shall provide the proposed agenda and questions for each meeting five (5) Working Days in advance of the meeting date.

Adam Lancaster is the City Engineer. As the Project Director, Mr. Lancaster is the City's sole contact person and addressee for receiving all communications regarding the Project. All inquiries, comments and scheduling of meetings regarding the Project shall be sent by e-mail. The meetings will be held at the location identified by the City.

E-mail inquiries, comments and scheduling of meetings regarding the Project shall be sent to: atlancaster@canoncity.org and shall include the wording "RFP DESIGN/BUILD STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (2A)" in the "subject" line.

Subject to applicable law, the City will use reasonable efforts to maintain confidentiality during the One-on-one Meeting process. The foregoing shall not preclude City from using ideas in accordance with the Contract Documents.

4.2. PRE-PROPOSAL SUBMITTALS

4.2.1. ALTERNATIVE TECHNICAL CONCEPTS (ATCS)

The City encourages the Proposer to recommend alternatives to the Standard Specifications. The Standard Specifications are a Contract requirement except to the extent that they are superseded by pre-Approved ATCs under this Section.

The City also encourages the Proposer to recommend alternatives to the technical requirements.

Recommended alternatives to these requirements should include innovative pavement solutions.

ATCs will be approved by the City that are equal or better in quality or effect with the Standard Specifications and Contract requirements (as determined by the City in its sole discretion). ATCs that provide less than equal quality and, or effect with the intent of saving Project cost for other undefined uses will not be approved. ATCs that provide less than equal quality will not be approved.

Except for incorporating ATCs with written responses from the City, the Proposal may not otherwise contain exceptions to, or deviations from the requirements of this RFP.

The ATC submission must include:

- Identification: A sequential ATC number.
- Description: A description and conceptual drawings (if applicable) of the ATC or other appropriate descriptive information.
- Usage: An explanation of where and how the Proposer would use the ATC on the Project.
- Deviations: References to the RFP requirements with which the ATC is recommended as an alternative, with specific revisions shown to the related text of the Contract Documents, and a request for Approval of such alternative.
- Analysis: An analysis justifying the Proposer's use of the ATC and describing how it provides equal or better quality or effect.

- Impacts: A preliminary analysis of potential environmental impacts/clearances, community impacts (including additional public involvement), safety impacts, and maintenance and operational impacts and lifecycle cost that the Proposer would be required to complete as part of the Work.
- Cost and Benefit Analysis: A detailed breakdown of any savings that would accrue to the City as a result of the ATC or a statement to the effect that there are no such cost savings. If a savings is realized, where will the savings be applied to maximize Project scope and if it reduces the GMP.
- Schedule Impacts: An estimate of any impact to the schedule necessary to design and construct the Project resulting from implementing the ATC, as well as a schedule graphically showing the ATC impact or a statement to the effect that there are no impacts.
- Risks: A description of any additional risks to the City or third parties associated with implementation of the ATC.
- Quality: A description of how the ATC, in terms of quality and performance, is equal to or better than the RFP requirements.
- Any other information required by the City.

In the event that implementation of an ATC will require governmental/environmental approvals/clearances, the Proposer shall have full responsibility for obtaining any such approvals/clearances.

If any required approval/clearance is not subsequently granted, with the result that the Proposer must change its approach to meet the original requirements of the Contract Documents, the Proposer will not be eligible for a Change Order that increases the Contract Price or extends the Completion Deadline.

4.2.2. CITY'S REVIEW OF ATCS

The City intends to review the ATCs and provide verbal comments, as determined in the City's sole discretion, to each Proposer during one-on-one meetings in advance of submission. Verbal comments shall not be considered Approval or denial of the proposed ATCs.

The City's written response to submitted ATCs will be limited to one of the following statements:

- The ATC is approved.
- The ATC is not approved.
- Identification of any conditions, which must be met in order to approve the ATC.

The City's written response will be given via email within 2 business days of the ATC presentation to the City and followed up with a hard copy via postal service.

Proposer may incorporate zero, one or more Approved ATCs as part of its Proposal. If THE CITY responded to an ATC by stating that certain conditions must be met for

Approval, Proposer may incorporate such ATC with conditions into the Proposal at its risk. If Proposer incorporates an ATC with conditions into its Proposal, the Proposer shall be responsible to comply with such ATC conditions if awarded the Contract. Copies of THE CITY's ATC Approval letters for each incorporated ATC shall be included in the Proposal.

Except for incorporating Approved ATCs or ATCs with conditions at Proposer's risk, in accordance with these and other Contract Document Requirements, the Proposal may not otherwise contain exceptions to, or deviations from the requirements of the RFP.

4.2.3. PRE-PROPOSAL SUBMISSION OF ATCS

City ATC meetings with each Proposer, if any, are subject to the Colorado Open Records Act. All discussions with the Proposers regarding ATCs will remain confidential.

The Proposer shall submit four hard copies and two electronic PDF copies of its desired ATCs in a sealed container no later than the date shown in the Procurement Schedule to:

Adam Lancaster, City Engineer
City of Cañon City
128 Main Street
Cañon City, CO 81212

Clearly shown on the outer cover of the container shall be the following:

- Identity of the Proposer
- "Alternative Technical Concepts for the RFP DESIGN/BUILD STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (2A)." The words "**CONFIDENTIAL – PROPRIETARY INFORMATION**" shall be clearly shown on the outer cover of the container.

4.2.4. GENERAL

The City anticipates that comments provided to each Proposer will be sufficient to enable the Proposer to make any necessary changes to its ATCs. However, if the Proposer wishes additional clarification regarding necessary changes, the Proposer may provide a written Request for Clarification under subsequent procedures.

4.3. PROPOSAL STRUCTURE, FORMAT, AND QUANTITIES

4.3.1. PROPOSAL STRUCTURE

The Proposal shall contain the items listed below and shall respond fully to all applicable requirements of the RFP.

Executive Summary
Proposer Information and Certifications
Technical Proposal
Project Plans, ATCs, and Schedule
Price Proposal

Unless stated otherwise, all Proposal forms included as part of this RFP shall be completed by the Proposer and submitted.

4.3.2. PROPOSAL FORMAT

The Proposer shall adhere to the format and minimize page count by presenting information as clearly and concisely as possible. Documentation that is difficult to read may be rejected and may lead to disqualification. Justification shall be provided for any significant deviation from these guidelines.

The format is provided to promote uniformity in the responses to the RFP and to facilitate the evaluation process.

1) Text

Text shall be in English in a standard font, a minimum of 11 point type in height, single-spaced. A minimum font of 8 point type in height shall be used for tables, figures, drawings, and graphics.

2) Pages and Page Numbering

For purposes of this Proposal, "page" shall mean one side of an 8.5- x 11-inch wide/white paper except where 11- x 17-inch is specifically allowed. 8.5 x 11-inch pages may be submitted in single sided or double sided format. Drawings shall be submitted on 11- x 17-inch wide/white paper and shall not be two-sided to facilitate review and reproduction.

Schedule plots shall be on 11- x 17-inch wide/white paper, folded, and inserted in an envelope or similar holder that is incorporated into the related submitted volume.

Pages must be numbered in each section consecutively. Page numbers shall be centered at the bottom of each page.

3) Binding and Dividers

Each Proposal shall be bound in loose-leaf binders, with dividers separating each section, which will allow pages to be easily added or removed. Pages containing materials with proprietary, trade secrets, or confidential information should be clearly marked as such. In addition, the covers of any section containing any proprietary, trade secrets, or confidential information shall be marked accordingly.

Sections shall be separated with dividers or bound individually in loose-leaf binders. Page numbering shall conform to the requirements above.

4) Reproduction

The information presented in the Proposal shall be easily reproducible by common black and white photocopying machines.

5) Color Photographs, Renderings, Brochures

Color photographs, renderings, and brochures shall be adequately bound and suitably protected for handling and circulation during review.

4.3.3. NUMBER OF COPIES

The Proposer shall provide five (5) complete original hard copies of Proposal, clearly labeled sealed and clearly labeled package.

The Proposer shall also submit two (2) electronic copies of the Proposal (in PDF format). Each entire Proposal shall be submitted on a separate CD, which shall be included with the original hard copies of that Proposal. The electronic copies may include Proposal forms that are not executed.

4.4. SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS

The Proposal, as defined, must be received at the address set forth below by 11:00 a.m., Mountain Time, on the Proposal Due Date, as shown in this RFP. It is the Proposer's sole responsibility to see that its Proposal is received as required. Proposals received after the date and time due shall be rejected without consideration or evaluation.

The documents shall be submitted in a separate sealed container(s). The original document shall have signature(s) of the authorized representative(s) of the Proposer's organization in BLUE ink and shall have the word "ORIGINAL" clearly marked on the outer cover.

The sets shall clearly show on the outer cover and the packing container the following:

- 1) Identity of the Proposer
- 2) "RFP DESIGN/BUILD STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (2A)." The words "CONFIDENTIAL – PROPRIETARY INFORMATION" shall be clearly shown on the outer cover of the container for such information.

The Proposer shall submit the sealed containers to:

Adam Lancaster, City Engineer
City of Cañon City
128 Main Street
Cañon City, CO 81212

4.5. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

4.5.1. RESPONSIVENESS EVALUATION

The Proposals will be reviewed for:

- 1) The Proposal's conformance to the RFP instructions regarding organization and format.
- 2) The responsiveness of the Proposer to the requirements set forth in the RFP.
- 3) Minor informalities, irregularities, and apparent clerical mistakes that are unrelated to the technical content of the Proposals.
- 4) Compliance with the pass/fail criteria set forth in this RFP.

The City will have the right to submit written questions to the Proposers regarding the Proposals for the following purposes:

- 1) Resolving any uncertainties or to obtain clarifications concerning the Proposal.
- 2) Resolving any suspected mistakes by calling them to the attention of the Proposer.
- 3) Providing the Proposer a reasonable opportunity to submit any revision to its Proposal that may result from the questions.

Those Proposals not responsive to this RFP may be excluded from further consideration and the Proposer will be so advised. THE CITY may also exclude from consideration any Proposer whose RFP contains a misrepresentation.

4.5.2. PROPOSER'S PRICE

As part of the responsiveness evaluation, The City will determine whether the Proposer has indicated on Form D that its Technical Proposal and any ATCs, submitted in accordance with these RFP and other Contract Document requirements are included in the Proposer's Price.

4.5.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA

A summary of the Proposal evaluation criteria is provided as follows:

EVALUATION CRITERIA	
SECTION	EVALUATION FACTOR
Executive Summary	Pass/Fail
Proposer Information/Qualifications And Certifications	Pass/Fail
Local Contractor Utilization	0/2/4/8 bonus points
Technical Proposal	Max 80 points total
1. Minimize impacts to traveling public	Max 10 points
2. Innovative pavement solutions	Max 10 points
3. Complete work on time or ahead of schedule	Max 20 points
4. Optimize scope of improvements within project budget	Max 20 points
5. Optimize life-cycle maintenance costs	Max 20 points
Project Plans, ATCs and Schedule	Supporting documents for evaluations
Price Proposal	Max 50 points

Actual points awarded shall be determined by the evaluation identified and based on the total Best Value Score discussed.

4.5.4. PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

The Proposer Information, Price Information and Certifications will be evaluated on a “pass/fail” basis. A Proposal must receive a “pass” for the Proposal to be further evaluated.

Failure to achieve a “pass” rating on a “pass/fail” factor or sub-factor may result in the Proposal being declared non-responsive and the Proposer being disqualified.

Failure to submit information in the manner, format, and detail specified herein might result in the Proposal receiving a “fail” rating and being declared non-responsive.

4.5.5. LOCAL CONTRACTOR UTILIZATION

Priority will be given to Proposer that utilizes local construction contractors on their team. Local contractors shall be defined as those who maintain an office, hold a business license, and pay property taxes within Fremont County for the past five years.

Proposer’s that use a local contractor for 100% of the water line work will receive 2 bonus points towards the overall maximum score. Proposer’s that use a local contractor for at least 25% of the street reconstruction/overlay work by value will receive 4 bonus points towards the overall maximum score. Proposer’s that use a local contractor for both 100% of the water line work and at least 25% of the street reconstruction/overlay work by value will receive 8 bonus points towards the overall maximum score.

4.5.6. RANKING CRITERIA TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

Ranking Criteria for the Technical Proposal are addressed in detail in this RFP.

4.5.7. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The City may at any time request additional information or clarification from the Proposer or may request the Proposer to verify or certify certain aspects of its Proposal.

4.5.8. ORAL PRESENTATIONS

The City reserves the right to invite the Proposers to make oral presentations in accordance with guidelines established by the City.

4.5.9. BEST VALUE DETERMINATION

Award of the Project will be based on a Best Value determination. The ranking of each of the Proposals shall be an assessment of price and the Technical Proposal.

Accordingly, determination of Best Value is defined by adding the Technical Proposal score to the Price Score. The price score will be determined as 40 minus a ratio of the Proposal Price minus the lowest submitted contractor price divided by one million.

TOTAL SCORE = Technical Proposal Score + [50 - ((Price(Proposer)- Price(lowest))/1000000)]

The Proposer with the highest total score will then be determined to have the Best Value Proposal and will be selected by the City.

To ensure transparency and trust with the industry, the City will disclose each Proposer's Technical Proposal Score, Proposer Price, and Total Score. This disclosure will be made after the City's standard Bid Opening process, where the proposer with the highest Best Value Score will be announced as the "Apparent Selected Proposer."

This approach of revealing the Apparent Selected Proposer is in complete compliance with Federal, State, and local regulations for Bid Opening and Design-Build Processes regarding no conflict or breach of confidentiality. In addition, all Technical Evaluations shall be completed before the Price Proposals are revealed.

4.6. AUTHORIZATION OF CITY COUNCIL

The City Engineer will present the selection results to the City Council and recommend that it authorize Award, negotiations, Best and Final Offers (BAFO), or rejection of all Proposals, as follows:

4.6.1. AWARD WITHOUT NEGOTIATIONS

The City Engineer may request Award of the Contract without negotiations to the Proposer with the Best Value Proposal.

4.6.2. NEGOTIATIONS

The City Engineer may request authorization to proceed with negotiations prior to Award. Such negotiations shall be limited to allocation of the Proposer's Price among the various Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) items desired by the City or any factors affecting the Project which have become known after the date of issuance to the last Addendum to this RFP.

4.6.3. BEST AND FINAL OFFER (BAFO)

If one Proposal is submitted with the a Proposal Price equal or less that the Guaranteed Maximum Price and an overall Technical Proposal Score of Good or better, the City does not intend to request BAFOs, but reserves the right to do so.

If the City Engineer determines discussions are necessary, the City Engineer may request authorization to enter into discussions with the Proposers, revise the RFP, and request BAFOs.

At the conclusion of the discussions, a final common cut-off date, which allows a reasonable opportunity for submission of written final revisions, will be established and those Proposers selected to remain will be notified to submit Proposal revisions. The City will consider the revised information and reevaluate and revise ratings as appropriate.

4.6.4. REJECTIONS OF PROPOSALS

The City may reject all Proposals without BAFOs.

5 PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

5.1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Proposer shall submit an Executive Summary limited to no more than 10 pages, inclusive of text, photographs, and/or renderings. Up to a maximum of three of the pages may be 11x17 inch format. The Executive Summary shall contain sufficient information to familiarize reviewers with the Proposer's Project approach and its ability to satisfy the legal and financial requirements of the Contract. The Proposer is encouraged to highlight in the Executive Summary those items that, in the opinion of the Proposer, represent added value by exceeding the RFP requirements and Project goals and may distinguish its Proposal from those of other Proposers. Provide a written description of the project scope and objectives as you understand them to be from the request for proposals. Provide a written description of the scope of services the team will provide to best meet the needs of the project

The Executive Summary shall include a brief and comprehensive summary of pertinent information from each section of the Proposal, as follows:

- 1) Proposal Overview Statement. A summary of the Proposal organization and contents. Include a table of contents of the Proposal that has page numbers identified.
- 2) Proposer Information and Certifications. A summary of the legal structure of the Proposer, agreements among the Proposer team members, and any legal commitments to the Project.
- 3) Technical Proposal. A summary of the Proposer's Technical Proposal. Include a brief discussion of the benefits associated with implementing any ATCs incorporated in the Technical Proposal.

The Executive Summary shall be suitable for presentation to, and for review by the City. The Executive Summary may be released to the media after Award of the Contract. Therefore, sensitive or confidential information that may be misused, misconstrued, or misrepresented should not be included or discussed in the Executive Summary.

5.2. PROPOSER INFORMATION/QUALIFICATIONS AND CERTIFICATIONS

5.2.1. PROPOSAL LETTER

The Proposer shall submit a Proposal letter using Form A.

5.2.2. QUALIFICATIONS

Proposers that do not have the requisite experience and qualifications are not encouraged to submit proposals.

5.2.3. DESIGN/BUILD PROJECTS OF SIMILAR SCOPE

Provide a brief project description and history for design/build projects similar in scope to this project completed in the last 5 years. Include the following information:

- Project description that includes the project name firms that comprised the design/build team.
- Project history that includes the original schedule agreed upon at time of signing the contract the actual duration of design and construction and any special characteristics of the project.
- Project budget information that includes the Guaranteed Maximum Price GMP at time of signing the contract final cost and the approximate number of requests for information or clarification change orders.
- Methodology for controlling project cost and schedule for each phase of the project.
- Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of project owners.

5.2.4. FINANCIAL CAPABILITY

Applicants must have the financial capacity to absorb project costs as well as to maintain the working financial aspects of the organizational structure.

Provide the following information:

- Bonding capacity
- Identify project financial arrangements
- Any pending or outstanding claims or judgments
- References from banking and credit institutions with whom business has been conducted
- Insurance coverage maintained

5.2.5. MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE AND CAPABILITY

Applicants must have strong project team leadership capability and relevant management experience for both the design and the construction sequences.

Provide information on:

- Recent, within the past 5 years, examples of management of projects that required similar management organization skills and expertise to that required of this project
- Describe the management techniques used during the both the design and construction phases

- Record of environmental violations on construction projects
- Safety record on construction projects
- Approaches used to cut costs when estimated costs exceed the budget

5.2.6. EXPERIENCE-PAVEMENT DESIGN\CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

State the team commitment to and experience with pavement performance and design.

List projects completed within the past 5 years that feature cost effective and/or innovative design strategies.

Include:

- Description of the targets set forth by project owners or developed by the design team in conjunction with the owner
- Design team organization used for these projects
- Description of the process used to reach final design
- Description of the innovative design features of each project
- Description of the design analysis technique used
- Description of the benefit/cost analysis used for systems and materials selections
- Information on the actual performance of the listed projects
- Names addresses and telephone numbers of project owners

5.2.7. TEAM MEMBER EXPERIENCE

Describe the level of participation in the projects listed above by key staff proposed for this project. Provide information regarding each team member professional licensure and recent team member experience with similar projects.

Describe any experience by the team or key staff on the team with similar design/build teams. Highlight any team design/build experience by key staff proposed for this project. Provide references for cited experience.

5.2.8. UNIQUE CAPABILITIES

Describe any unique capabilities the proposed key staff will bring to this project and how will their experience benefit this project.

5.2.9. AUTHORIZATION DOCUMENTS

Organizational Documents

The Proposer shall provide a copy of the articles of incorporation and bylaws, the joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, limited liability company operating agreement, or equivalent organizational documents for the Proposer and each Major Participant. The documents shall be consistent with the responsibilities to be undertaken by the Proposer and Major Participants under the Contract.

Evidence of Good Standing and Qualification to do Business

If the Proposer is a corporation or limited liability company, the Proposer shall provide evidence that the Proposer is in good standing in the state of its incorporation/organization and of current qualification to do business in the State of Colorado. If the Proposer is a joint venture or partnership, the Proposer shall provide the foregoing evidence for each member of the joint venture or each general partner.

Authorization to Bind Proposer

The Proposer shall provide evidence in the form of a certified resolution of its governing body and, if the Proposer is a partnership, joint venture or limited liability company, of the governing bodies of the Proposer's general partners, joint venture partners, or members, evidencing the capacity of the person(s) signing the Proposal to bind the Proposer should the City elect to accept it without negotiations or Best and Final Offers (BAFO).

The Proposer shall also provide appropriate evidence regarding the authority of any designated individual(s) to sign the certificates required by this RFP on behalf of the Proposer. Such authorization may take the form of a certified copy of corporate or other resolution(s) authorizing the same.

Authorization to Negotiate

The Proposer shall provide appropriate evidence regarding authorization of one or more individuals to participate in the negotiation process described herein and make binding commitments to the City in connection with this RFP. Such authorization may take the form of a certified copy of corporate or other resolution(s) authorizing the same.

Joint and Several Liability

If the Proposer is a joint venture, partnership, or limited liability company, the Proposer shall provide a letter from each partner or member of the joint venture or limited liability company stating that the respective partner or member of the joint venture or limited liability company agrees to be held jointly and severally liable for any and all duties and obligations of the Proposer under the Proposal and under any Contract or other agreement arising there from.

Escrow Agreement

The Proposer shall deliver with its Proposal three signed originals of the Escrow Agreement on Form B. The Proposer shall also deliver the Escrowed Proposal Documents (EPD) per Form B, and as specified in the Escrow Agreement, by 11:00 a.m. Mountain Time on the EPDs Due Date, April 2, 2018. The City Engineer and the Proposer can agree to a different method of providing EPDs to the City.

Proposal Bond

The Proposer shall submit a Proposal Bond in the sum and in the form set forth in Form C. The Proposal Bond shall be issued by a fully qualified surety company meeting the requirements set forth.

Each proposal must be accompanied by a Proposal Security made payable to the City of Cañon City in the amount of 5% of the Guaranteed Maximum Price. The required security must be in the form of a certified or bank cashier check or a Bid Bond on the attached Form C Surety meeting the requirements of the General Conditions for surety bonds. The successful proposer Proposal Security will be retained until such proposer has executed the Contract and furnished the required contract security whereupon the Proposal Security will be returned. If the successful Proposer fails to execute and deliver the Contract and furnish the required contract security within 15 days of the Notice of Award the Proposal Security of that Proposer will be forfeited.

5.3. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL

The Technical Proposal submission requirements are outlined in detail in below. The total page limit for the Technical Proposal shall not exceed 70 pages, exclusive of required forms, dividers and tables of contents.

5.4. PROJECT PLANS, ATCS, AND SCHEDULE

5.4.1. APPROVED ATCS

ATCs if incorporated into the Proposal shall be included with the Proposal. The Proposer shall provide the City's ATC Approval letters with the Proposal as well as the complete submittal information that was the basis for these City responses.

5.4.2. SCHEDULE

Schedule shall include a preliminary schedule. The Preliminary Schedule shall include milestone dates Final Project Completion and other key dates or durations to support additional milestones. The schedule should also include durations for road closures, and shall depict the progress and sustainability of operations through the duration of the Project. Include the duration of each construction stage in Calendar Days, anticipated start-date of each stage, and the proposed duration of road closures in Calendar Days.

Describe the process the Proposer will take to control the costs schedule and quality of the work during each phase of the project. Indicate periods of owner participation. Explain the methods that will be used to insure delivery of a project that meets or exceeds the Contract documents or the City needs.

5.5. PRICE PROPOSAL

5.5.1. PRICE INFORMATION (FORM D)

The Proposer shall indicate a breakdown of the pricing as indicated on Form D. The Proposer is advised that the work on Form D encompass all of the Work although the WBS descriptions may not specifically identify each element of the Work.

Note: All water line work must be accounted for separately within the GMP and will be paid for separately under the Contract.

6 PROCUREMENT REQUIREMENTS

6.1. RECEIPT OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS DOCUMENTS AND OTHER NOTICES

The Proposer shall notify the CITY in writing of any changes in the addressee for any notices or Addenda to be sent to the Proposer by the CITY. Failure to notify the CITY may result in the Proposer failing to receive Addenda or other important communications from the CITY, for which the CITY shall not be responsible.

6.2. EXAMINATION AND INTERPRETATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS DOCUMENTS

The Proposer shall be solely responsible for examining, with appropriate care, the RFP Documents, including any Addenda issued, and for informing itself, with respect to any and all conditions that may in any way affect the amount or nature of the Proposal or the performance of the Work in the event of Award. Failure of the Proposer to so examine and inform itself shall be its sole risk and the CITY will provide no relief for error or omission.

The Proposer shall be responsible for:

- 1) At its election, submitting comments on the forms required.
- 2) Requesting clarification or interpretation of any material discrepancy, deficiency, ambiguity, error, or omission contained therein, or of any provision which the Proposer otherwise fails to understand.

Any such comments or requests shall be considered Requests for Clarifications (RFCs), and shall be submitted in writing to:

Adam Lancaster, City Engineer
City of Cañon City
128 Main Street
Cañon City, CO 81212

RFCs shall be submitted via email to Adam Lancaster, City Engineer at: atlancaster@canoncity.org and shall include the wording "RFP DESIGN/BUILD STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (2A)" in the "subject" line.

If the CITY determines, in its sole discretion, that such comments or clarifications require a change to the RFP Documents, the CITY will prepare and issue an Addendum. The CITY will not be bound by, and the Proposer shall not rely on, any oral communication regarding the RFP Documents. The CITY will respond to RFCs by email to the Pre-Proposal Attendee List within 2 business days of the RFC.

If the Proposer has meetings or discussions with other agencies or entities during the procurement phase, the Proposer shall be responsible for verifying any information received from such meetings or discussions with the CITY.

6.3. ADDENDA

The CITY reserves the right to revise the RFP Documents. Such revisions, if any, will be announced by addenda to the RFP Documents (“Addenda”). The CITY will also identify questions received from Proposers and answers given by THE CITY (“Questions and Answers”). Copies of Addenda and Questions and Answers will be furnished to all short-listed firms.

If any Addendum includes changes that significantly impact this RFP, as determined in the CITY’s sole discretion, the CITY may set a new proposal due date. The announcement of such new date, if any, will be included in the Addendum.

The Proposer shall acknowledge in its Proposal Letter (Form A), receipt of all Addenda. Failure to acknowledge receipt of all Addenda may cause the Proposal to be deemed non-responsive and be rejected.

6.4. IMPROPER CONDUCT

If the Proposer, or anyone representing or acting on behalf of or at the direction of the Proposer, offers or gives any advantage, gratuity, bonus, discount, bribe, or loan of any sort to the CITY, including agents or anyone representing the CITY at any time in connection with this RFP or the Contract, the CITY shall immediately disqualify the Proposer, claim the Proposal Bond, and may sue the Proposer for damages.

During the RFP process, commencing as of the date of this RFP and continuing until Award of the Contract or cancellation of this RFP, no employee, member, or agent of any Proposer shall have any ex parte communications regarding this RFP with any member of the CITY, their staff, their advisors, or any of their contractors or consultants involved with the procurement, except for communications expressly permitted by this RFP, which exception includes discussions or negotiations between the CITY and the Proposers. Any Proposer engaging in such prohibited communications may be disqualified at the sole discretion of the CITY. The foregoing shall not preclude any Proposer from participating in public meetings.

6.5. WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSAL AFTER PROPOSAL DUE DATE

The Proposer understands and agrees that if the Proposer withdraws all or any part of its Proposal within 60 Days after the Proposal Due Date without the written consent of the CITY, the Proposer shall forfeit its Proposal Bond.

6.6. RESPONSIVE PROPOSAL

The Proposer shall provide responses to all information requested in this RFP for the Proposal. Failure to provide the requested information may result in THE CITY, at its sole discretion, determining that a Proposal is non-responsive and should be rejected. A Proposal will be considered non-responsive if it seeks to qualify or change any of the terms and conditions of the Contract; to limit or modify the bonds, insurance, or warranties required; or if the Proposal Bond is not provided.

6.7. OWNERSHIP OF PROPOSALS

All documents submitted by the Proposer in response to this RFP shall become the property of the CITY and will not be returned to the Proposer. The concepts and ideas in the information contained in the Proposal, including any proprietary, trade secret, or confidential information (exclusive of any patented concepts or trademarks) submitted by the Proposer, shall also become the property of the CITY if submitted by the successful Proposer upon Award and execution of the Contract.

6.8. COLORADO OPEN RECORDS ACT

Except for the Escrowed Proposal Documents (EPD), as defined, below, all records, documents, drawings, plans, specifications, and other materials relating to the conduct of the CITY business, including materials submitted by Proposers, are subject to the provisions of the Colorado Open Records Act (C.R.S. sections 24-72-101, et seq.) and any other laws and regulations applicable to the disclosure of documents submitted under this RFP. Such laws govern the CITY's use and disclosure of records.

During the Proposal process, including any BAFOs and negotiation period, the CITY will accept materials clearly and prominently labeled "PROPRIETARY," "TRADE SECRET," or "CONFIDENTIAL" by the Proposer. Any such proprietary information, trade secrets, or confidential commercial and financial information that a Proposer believes should be exempted from disclosure shall be specifically identified and marked as such. Blanket, all-inclusive identifications by designation of whole pages or sections as containing proprietary information, trade secrets, or confidential commercial or financial information shall not be permitted and shall be deemed invalid. the CITY will advise the Proposer of any request pursuant to the Colorado Open Records Act and any other applicable laws for the disclosure of any material properly labeled as proprietary, trade secret, or confidential so as to allow the Proposer the opportunity to protect such materials from disclosure. Under no circumstances, however, will the CITY be responsible or liable to the Proposer or any other party for the disclosure of any such labeled materials, whether the disclosure is deemed required by law, by an order of court, or occurs through inadvertence, mistake, or negligence on the part of the CITY or its officers, employees, contractors, or consultants.

The CITY will not advise a Proposer as to the nature or content of documents entitled to protection from disclosure under the Colorado Open Records Act or other applicable laws, as to the interpretation of the Colorado Open Records Act, or as to the definition of trade secret. The Proposer shall be solely responsible for all determinations made by

it under applicable laws, and for clearly and prominently marking each and every page or sheet of materials with PROPRIETARY,” “TRADE SECRET,” or “CONFIDENTIAL” as it determines to be appropriate. Each Proposer is advised to contact its own legal counsel concerning the Colorado Open Records Act, other applicable laws, and their application to the Proposer’s own circumstances.

In the event of litigation concerning the disclosure of any material submitted by the Proposer, the CITY’s sole involvement will be as a stakeholder retaining the material until otherwise ordered by a Court, and the Proposer shall be responsible for otherwise prosecuting or defending any action concerning the materials at its sole expense and risk.

6.9. ESCROWED PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS

6.9.1. FORMAT OF ESCROWED PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS (EDPS)

The EPDs shall contain information regarding the Proposer’s assumptions made in developing Form D in its Proposal. The Proposer shall submit EPDs in such format as it used in preparing its Proposal.

6.9.2. REVIEW OF ESCROWED PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS

All Proposers will deliver EPDs, marked confidential, to the CITY, as identified in the Procurement Schedule, above. Prior to Contract execution (or Contract negotiations, if applicable), the selected Proposer’s EPDs will be reviewed to determine completeness. All EPDs will be held in a locked fireproof safety deposit box kept at a mutually agreed upon banking location, with an Escrow Agent. The safety deposit box shall have two locks, one key held only by the Proposer and one key held only by the CITY. Representatives of the CITY and the Proposer shall review the EPDs prior to Contract execution (or Contract negotiations, if applicable) to determine whether they are complete. Such representatives shall also organize the EPDs, labeling each page so that it is obvious that the page is a part of the EPDs and to enable a person reviewing the page out of context to determine where it can be found within the EPDs; and the representatives shall compile an index listing each document included in the EPDs and briefly describing the document and its location in the EPDs. The CITY will have the right to retain a copy of the index. If, following the initial organization, the CITY determines that the EPDs are incomplete, the CITY may require the Proposer to supply data to make the EPDs complete. Incomplete EPDs may render the Proposal nonresponsive. The EPDs will be available for joint review in conjunction with Contract negotiations, if applicable, and as described.

6.9.3. RETURN OF ESCROWED PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS

The EPDs will be returned to each unsuccessful Proposer after the Contract is signed with the successful Proposer or if all Proposals are rejected or withdrawn.

6.9.4. THE CITY'S ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The CITY acknowledges that the EPDs and the information contained therein are being provided to the CITY because such is an express prerequisite to entering into the Contract. The CITY agrees to defend against any Colorado Open Records Act requests that are made to inspect or photocopy EPDs.

6.10. PROTESTS

6.10.1. PROTESTS REGARDING REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL DOCUMENTS

Any Proposer that is aggrieved in connection with the RFP may protest the terms of the RFP Documents prior to the time for submission of Proposals on the grounds that: 1) a material provision in the RFP Documents is ambiguous; 2) any aspect of the procurement process described herein is contrary to legal requirements applicable to this procurement; or 3) the RFP Documents exceed, in whole or in part, the authority of the CITY.

Protests regarding the RFP Documents shall be filed only after the Proposer has informally discussed the nature and basis of the protest with the City Engineer in an effort to remove the grounds for protest. Written protests regarding the RFP Documents must completely and succinctly state the grounds for protest and shall include, as a minimum, the following:

- 1) The name and address of the protester
- 2) Appropriate identification of the procurement by bid or Award number
- 3) A statement of the reasons for the protest
- 4) All available exhibits, evidence, or documents substantiating the protest

Protests regarding the RFP Documents shall be filed by hand-delivery to the City Engineer:

Adam Lancaster, City Engineer
City of Cañon City
128 Main Street
Cañon City, CO 81212

The protests shall be delivered within 7 Working Days after the protester knows or should have known of the facts giving rise to the basis for the protest. The protester shall post a bond payable to the CITY in accordance with 2 CCR 601-15, § 22, Protests. The Proposer is responsible for obtaining proof of delivery.

No hearing will be held on the protest, but the City Engineer or his designee shall decide on the basis of the written submissions. Any additional information regarding the protest should be submitted within the time period requested to expedite resolution of the protest. If any party fails to comply expeditiously with any request for information by

the City Engineer or his designee, the protest may be resolved without such information. The City Engineer or his designee will issue a written decision regarding the protest within 7 Working Days after the protest is filed. The decision shall be based on and limited to a review of the issues raised by the aggrieved Proposer(s) and shall set forth each factor taken into account in reaching the decision. The decision shall inform the protesters of their right to appeal administratively or judicially in accordance with C.R.S. §§ 24-109-201 *et seq.* The decision is subject to appeal de novo to the City Administrator or his designee, or to the District Court. No stay of procurement will become effective.

If necessary to correct any error, omission, or ambiguity identified by the protest, the CITY will make appropriate revisions to the RFP Documents by issuing an Addendum. The failure of a Proposer to establish a basis for a protest regarding the RFP Documents shall preclude consideration of that basis in any protest of a selection, unless such basis was not and could not have been known to the Proposer in time to protest prior to the final date for such protests.

The CITY may extend the Proposal Due Date, if necessary, to include any such protest issues.

6.10.2. PROTESTS REGARDING RESPONSIVENESS, BEST VALUE EVALUATION, OR AWARD

Protests regarding the CITY's approval of changes in a Proposer's organization or decisions regarding responsiveness, best value evaluation rankings, or Award of the Contract must be filed by hand-delivery to the City Engineer:

Adam Lancaster, City Engineer
City of Cañon City
128 Main Street
Cañon City, CO 81212

The protests must be delivered within 7 Working Days after the CITY releases notice of its approval of a change in a Proposer's organization or decision regarding responsiveness, rankings, or Award, as applicable. The protestant shall concurrently file a Notice of Protest with the other Proposers whose addresses may be obtained from the City Engineer. The Notice of Protest shall state with particularity, the grounds of the protest.

The procedures applicable to such protests are set forth in the in C.R.S. §§ 24-109-101 through 24-109-404, as modified by the Design-Build Regulations in 2 CCR 601-15, § 22. The City Engineer or his designee is authorized to settle and resolve any protest within 7 Working Days after the protest is filed.

6.11. EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS

During the RFP process, commencing as of the date of this RFP and continuing until Award of the Contract or cancellation of this RFP, no employee, member, or agent of any Proposer shall have any ex parte communications regarding this RFP with any member of the CITY, their staff, their advisors, or any of their contractors or consultants involved with the procurement, except for communications expressly permitted by this RFP, which exception includes discussions or negotiations between the CITY and the Proposers. Any Proposer engaging in such prohibited communications may be disqualified at the sole discretion of the CITY. The foregoing shall not preclude any Proposer from participating in public meetings.

6.12. PROJECT RIGHTS AND DISCLAIMERS

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this RFP or the Contract, the CITY reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to:

- 1) Investigate the qualifications of any Proposer.
- 2) Require confirmation of information furnished by a Proposer.
- 3) Require additional evidence of qualifications to perform the Work.
- 4) Reject any or all of the Proposals.
- 5) Issue a new request for Proposals.
- 6) Cancel, modify, or withdraw the entire RFP, or any part hereof.
- 7) Issue Addenda, supplements, and modifications to this RFP.
- 8) Solicit BAFOs from the Proposers.
- 9) Appoint evaluation committees to review Proposals, and seek the assistance of outside technical experts and consultants in Proposal evaluation.
- 10) Revise and modify, at any time, the factors it will consider in evaluating responses to this RFP and to otherwise revise or expand its evaluation methodology.
- 11) Seek or obtain data from any source that has the potential to improve the understanding and evaluation of the responses to this RFP.
- 12) Waive or permit corrections to data submitted with any response to this RFP.
- 13) Waive or permit submittal of addenda and supplements to data previously provided with any responses to this RFP.
- 14) Approve or disapprove changes in the Proposer team or Proposal (a substitution of any of the major participants will be carefully scrutinized and may result in disqualification of the Proposer).
- 15) Require correction of or waive deficiencies, informalities, and minor irregularities in Proposals; or seek clarifications or modifications to a Proposal.
- 16) Disqualify any Proposer that changes its submittal without THE CITY Approval.
- 17) Hold the Proposals and Proposal Bonds under consideration for a maximum of 120 Days after the Proposal Due Date until the final Award is made.

This RFP does not commit the CITY to enter into the Contract or any other contract. The CITY assumes no obligations, responsibilities, or liabilities, fiscal or otherwise, to reimburse all or part of the costs incurred or alleged to have been incurred by parties

considering a response to and/or responding to this RFP. All of such costs shall be borne solely by each Proposer.

In no event shall the CITY be bound by, or liable for, any obligations with respect to the Project until such time (if at all) as a Contract, in form and substance satisfactory to the CITY, has been executed and authorized by the CITY and, then, only to the extent set forth therein.

6.13. CONTRACT EXECUTION

Within 20 Working Days after delivery by the CITY to the successful Proposer of the execution form of Contract, the successful Proposer shall deliver to the CITY the following:

- 1) Signed Contract (4 executed duplicate originals), together with evidence of the signatory authority of the signatories thereto. All original signatures shall be in blue ink.
- 2) Approvals of each member or partner of the Proposer of the final form of the Contract.
- 3) Payment Bond in the form attached hereto, issued by the surety listed in the Proposal, or an equivalent surety meeting the requirements stated in the Contract, together with evidence of the signatory authority of the signatories thereto.
- 4) Performance Bond in the form attached hereto, issued by the surety listed in the Proposal, or an equivalent surety meeting the requirements stated in the Contract, together with evidence of the signatory authority of the signatories thereto.
- 5) Documentation from the Proposer and each major participant that clearly depicts entitlement under the laws of the State of Colorado to undertake and perform the Work. Said documentation shall include copies of construction licenses and evidence that the Proposer or its designated design firm is licensed to carry out the design portion of the Work.

Failure to comply with the above may result in cancellation of the Award and forfeiture of the Proposal Bond, in which case the CITY may, but is not obligated to, proceed to Award the Contract to the next highest ranked Proposer.

7 TECHNICAL PROPOSAL CONTENTS & EVALUATION CRITERIA

7.1. MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO THE TRAVELING PUBLIC.

7.1.1. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Maintenance of Traffic (MOT)

Provide a narrative that describes the proposer's commitments to the following key elements:

- Approach and commitment to minimize the number of major traffic alignment shifts, number and duration of all closures of lanes, driveways/accesses, cross streets, and other roads.
- Approach and commitment to minimize impacts to the existing capacity and maximize the number of people moved through the Project.
- Approach and commitments to maintaining temporary lanes, barriers, signing, striping, signals and other traffic control devices.
- The process to produce MOT Plans, including development, implementation, monitoring, refinement, and maintenance.
- Approach and commitment to implementation of an Incident Management Plan and responding to and clearing incidents in the Project area.
- Approach and utilization of detours.
- Approach and commitment to maintain business, property owner, and pedestrian access.

Public Information Plan

Provide a narrative that describes the proposer's approach and commitments for the Public Information Plan incorporating the following key elements:

- Approach in using innovative strategies, tactics, and solutions to communicating construction activities and coping messages to the public and Stakeholders, including impacted businesses. Commitments of the Proposer's approach to how it will be proactive and flexible in identifying and responding to concerns of the public, Stakeholders, and impacted businesses throughout the progress of the Project.
- Approach and commitments to keeping the CITY informed of its communication efforts with the public and Stakeholders.

- Approach and commitments related to releasing information, including construction schedule, maintenance of traffic, road closures, access plans, and restrictions.
- The Public Information Plan shall include a project website and phone and email hotline that is kept current with responses given within 24 hours of receipt as well as timely notices given to property owners and tenants.

7.1.2. POINTS AVAILABLE FOR MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO THE TRAVELING PUBLIC

Minimize Impacts to Traveling Public	Points
Approach and commitments to the Maintenance of Traffic	5
Approach and commitments to the Public Information Plan	5
Maximum Subtotal Points:	10

7.1.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR MINIMIZE IMPACTS TO THE TRAVELING PUBLIC

Maintenance of Traffic (MOT)

The Maintenance of Traffic Plan will be evaluated based on a plan that describes quantitative and qualitative characteristics, in maximizing capacity and safety of the flow of traffic throughout the construction of the project, as measured by the following elements:

- Approach and commitment to minimize the number of major traffic alignment shifts, number and duration of all closures of lanes, driveways/accesses, cross streets, and other roads.
- Approach and commitment to minimize impacts to the existing capacity and maximize the number of people moved through the Project.
- Approach and commitments to maintaining temporary lanes, barriers, signing, striping, signals and other traffic control devices.
- The process to produce MOT Plans, including development, implementation, monitoring, refinement, and maintenance.
- Approach and commitment to implementation of an Incident Management Plan and responding to and clearing incidents in the Project area.

- Approach and utilization of detours.
- Approach and commitment to maintain business, property owner, and pedestrian access.

Public Involvement Plan

The Public Involvement Plan will be evaluated based on a plan that describes quantitative and qualitative characteristics, including:

- Approach and commitment to use Strategies, tactics, and solutions to communicating construction activities and coping messages to the public and Stakeholders, including impacted businesses.
- Approach and commitment to being proactive and flexible in identifying and responding to the concerns of the public, Stakeholders, and impacted business throughout the progress of the Project.
- Approach and commitment to keeping THE CITY informed of communication efforts
- Approach and performance commitments related to releasing information regarding the Project.
- Approach and commitments to crisis communications.
- The Public Information Plan shall include a project website and phone and email hotline that is kept current with responses given within 24 hours of receipt as well as timely notices given to property owners and tenants.

7.2. INNOVATIVE PAVEMENT SOLUTIONS.

7.2.1. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Provide a narrative that describes the proposer’s innovative pavement solutions:

- Approach and commitment to maximize the scope of work within the budget using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.
- Approach and commitment to minimize the traffic disruption and road closure time to deliver the project ahead of schedule using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.
- Approach and commitment to minimize the impacts to the city streets due to material hauling using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.

- Approach and commitment to minimize the impacts to the environment using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.

7.2.2. POINTS AVAILABLE FOR INNOVATIVE PAVEMENT SOLUTIONS

Innovative Pavement Solutions	Points
Approach and commitments to Maximize Scope of Work	4
Approach and commitments to Minimize Disruption	2
Approach and commitments to Minimize Impacts to Streets	2
Approach and commitments to Minimize Impact to Environment	2
Maximum Subtotal Points:	10

7.2.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR INNOVATIVE PAVEMENT SOLUTIONS

The Innovative Pavement Solutions will be evaluated based on a plan that describes quantitative and qualitative characteristics, in maximizing the scope of work while minimizing impacts, as measured by the following elements:

- Approach and commitment to maximize the scope of work within the budget using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.
- Approach and commitment to minimize the traffic disruption and road closure time to deliver the project ahead of schedule using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.
- Approach and commitment to minimize the impacts to the city streets due to material hauling using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.
- Approach and commitment to minimize the impacts to the environment using innovative pavement and subbase stabilization methods.

7.3. COMPLETE WORK ON TIME OR AHEAD OF SCHEDULE.

7.3.1. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Provide a narrative that describes the proposer’s approach and commitments to completing the Work during the 2017 construction season typically ending November 31st as well as working no longer than 45 days at any given site once work has began and completing work in school zones between June 1st and August 1st. Additionally describe the process for planning, installing, and testing of the infrastructure with key milestones including concrete and utility work.

7.3.2. POINTS AVAILABLE FOR COMPLETE WORK ON TIME OR AHEAD OF SCHEDULE

Complete Work on Time or Ahead of Schedule	Points
Approach and commitments to the 2017 Construction Season as well as working no longer than 45 days at any site once work has begun and completing work in school zones between June 1st and August 1st	10
Coordination of Concrete and Utility Work	10
Maximum Subtotal Points:	20

7.3.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR COMPLETE WORK ON TIME OR AHEAD OF SCHEDULE

The Project Deadlines will be evaluated based on a plan that describes quantitative and qualitative characteristics, of completing the project and achieving the identified project deadlines including:

- Approach and Deadline commitment to achieve Final Project Completion.
- Approach and commitment for any Coordination and Additional Project Deadlines (If Proposed).

7.4. OPTIMIZE SCOPE OF IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN PROJECT BUDGET.

7.4.1. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

The CITY requests proposers show how their approach to the pavement reconstruction and overlays will be provided in the most economical manner.

Proposers should consider proposing alternative pavement reconstruction concept(s). Alternative concept(s) must demonstrate that work being constructed as part of this project can be included as part of the ultimate concepts with minimal cost.

Include in the narrative a discussion of elements, such as estimated Construction Cost impacts, potential Increases in Scope of Work, potential ROW impacts, impacts to environmental resources, schedule impacts, identified constraints and any potential design variances.

**7.4.2. POINTS AVAILABLE FOR OPTIMIZE SCOPE OF IMPROVEMENTS
WITHIN PROJECT BUDGET**

Optimize Scope of Improvements Within Project Budget	Points
Approach and commitments to Alternative Concepts	10
Increase in Scope of Work within Project Budget	10
Maximum Subtotal Points:	20

**7.4.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR OPTIMIZE SCOPE OF IMPROVEMENTS
WITHIN PROJECT BUDGET**

The proposers show their approach to the pavement reconstruction and overlays will be provided in the most economical manner.

Proposers consider proposing alternative pavement reconstruction concept(s). Alternative concept(s) demonstrate that work being constructed as part of this project can be included as part of the ultimate concepts with minimal cost.

Strategies are given that address estimated Construction Cost impacts, potential Increases in Scope of Work, potential ROW impacts, impacts to environmental resources, schedule impacts, identified constraints and any potential design variances.

7.5. OPTIMIZE LIFE-CYCLE MAINTENANCE COSTS.

7.5.1. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

Pavement

Proposers shall identify their pavement approach and commitments for minimizing operating and life cycle maintenance costs of CITY street facilities.

Provide a narrative that describes the pavement design, materials, and equipment and construction approach.

Other Project elements that will minimize operating and maintenance costs.

Project Management Approach

The Proposers shall provide a narrative that describes their approach and commitments in a Project Management Plan.

The narrative should include the following:

- Detailed organization chart.
- Project communication and management processes, including internal (Contractor) and external to the owner and all interested (e.g., the CITY and Utilities) stakeholders.
- Approach to partnering (including conflict and dispute escalation and resolution process).
- Key Personnel.

The Proposers shall provide a narrative that describes their approach and commitments for a Quality Program.

The narrative should include the following:

- Quality policy approach and commitments.
- Quality planning approach and commitments.
- Quality Assurance approach and commitments (highlighting inspection approach and proposed hold points).
- Provide Quality improvement approach and commitments.
- Quality resources depicting resource commitments of Quality personnel for the duration of the Project.

The Proposers shall provide a narrative that describes their approach and commitments for an Environmental Compliance Plan.

The narrative should include the following:

- Approach and commitments to minimizing and mitigating impacts to water quality during construction, including the use of Best Management Practices (BMP).
- Approach and commitments to controlling construction noise.
- Approach and commitments to controlling dust and debris during construction.

7.5.2. POINTS AVAILABLE FOR OPTIMIZE LIFE-CYCLE MAINTENANCE COSTS

Optimize Life-Cycle Maintenance Costs	Points
Pavement	10
Program Management Approach	10
Maximum Subtotal Points:	20

7.5.3. EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR OPTIMIZE LIFE-CYCLE MAINTENANCE COSTS

Pavement

The evaluation will consider the proposers approach and commitments to minimizing operating and life cycle maintenance costs. The CITY will consider the qualitative benefits of the effectiveness of the approach to minimizing operating and life cycle maintenance costs.

Project Management Approach

The evaluation of the Project Management approach will consider the proposers approach and commitment consider the following:

The ability of the project organization to provide appropriately qualified personnel at functional levels of authority and responsibility to execute the management of the design, construction, and Quality Program for the Project.

The effectiveness of the organization to facilitate communication and coordination within the internal Contractor team, the CITY team, third parties (Utilities, etc.), other Project Stakeholders.

The effectiveness of the Contractor’s management philosophy and partnering approach to resolving disagreements, conflicts, and disputes with the CITY as it relates to the project.

The effectiveness of the approach and commitments to a Quality Plan that will meet the Project Goals and the requirements of the Contract Documents.

The effectiveness of the quality planning approach to establish, document, implement, and maintain a Quality Management System in accordance with legal requirements.

The effectiveness of the approach to establish and implement a Quality Assurance Program to perform reviews, inspections, testing, and corrective action procedures and documentation, including the approach to materials testing and inspection reports and management of hold points, and how it will be utilized to monitor compliance with the requirements of the Contract Documents.

The staffing commitments reflected in the Quality Personnel resources depicting resource commitments of Quality personnel (including qualified on-site inspectors and testers) for the duration of the Project.

The effectiveness of the Quality approach to plan and implement the monitoring, measurement, analysis, and improvement process to continually improve the Quality Plan.

The effectiveness of the approach to coordinate with and involve the CITY and its representatives in the Quality process.

The effectiveness of approach and commitments to continuously minimize and mitigate impacts to water quality during construction, including the utilization of BMPs.

The effectiveness of approach and commitments to controlling construction impacts, including noise, dust, debris, and construction vehicle use of public streets.

7.6. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SCORING.

The following “Ratings” and “Percent of Maximum Score,” exclusive of the pass/fail components will be used for scoring the Technical Proposal (Local Contractor Utilization points will be added as a bonus to Maximum Score and included here) and are listed below:

Rating	Description	Percent of Max Score
Excellent	Proposal supports an extremely strong expectation of successful Project performance if ultimately selected as the Contractor. Proposal indicates significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths and no weaknesses. Proposer provides a consistently outstanding level of quality.	100-90%
Very Good	Proposal indicates significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths and no significant weaknesses. Minor weaknesses are offset by strengths. There exists a small possibility that, if ultimately selected as the Contractor, the minor weaknesses could slightly affect successful Project performance adversely.	89-75%
Good	Proposal indicates significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths. Minor and significant weaknesses exist that could detract from strengths. While the weaknesses could be improved, minimized, or corrected, it is possible that if ultimately selected as the Contractor, the weaknesses could adversely affect successful Project performance.	74-51%
Fair	Proposal indicates weaknesses, significant and minor, which are not offset by significant strengths. No significant strengths and a few minor strengths exist. It is probable that if ultimately selected as the Contractor, the weaknesses would adversely affect successful Project performance.	50-25%
Poor	Proposal indicates existence of significant weaknesses and/or minor weaknesses and no strengths. Proposal indicates a strong expectation that successful performance could not be achieved if submitter were selected as the Contractor.	24-0%

8 INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO PROPOSERS

8.1 MAJOR THOROUGHFARES PRIORITY RECONSTRUCTION PLAN

The City has identified its priority streets for reconstruction and overlay that it believes can be done within the constraints of Referendum #2A funding based on street classification, required utility work, geographical equity, and overall condition index (OCI).

8.2 CARTEGRAPH STREET & WATER UTILITY ASSET DATA

The City has databases in Cartegraph for its street and water assets that include areas, pavement conditions, lengths, etc.

8.3 GIS BASELAYERS

The City has GIS base layers including aerial photography, parcel data, topography, etc.

8.4 DATA DISCLAIMER

The City data provided is compiled from a variety of data resources. The many data sources contributing to the data have been submitted at varying levels of quality, accuracy, and scale and have often been manipulated to align with other data layers. This data is for informational purposes and should not be substituted for detail information.

9 DESIGN GUIDELINES AND CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

9.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project associated with this RFP is comprised of reconstructing existing asphalt surfaces and its supporting subgrade or resurfacing of existing asphalt surfaces by milling and placing overlays for the purposes of improving streets in Cañon City. The project also involves the replacement of water mains in some of the selected streets prior to the asphalt work. Other work included is curb and gutter replacement, handicap ramp installation/replacement and small drainage improvements.

9.2 PERFORMANCE PERIOD

The Contact Period of Performance will be from Spring 2018 through Fall 2018.

The Contractor shall provide a two-year warranty on all work performed under this Contract.

The Contract awarded under this RFP shall be for the 2018 calendar year but may be renewed with annual renewal options until the Referendum #2A work is completed.

9.3 SITE SELECTION AND WORK APPROACH

9.3.1. ANALYSIS/COORDINATION PRIOR TO FINAL SITE SELECTION

Street analysis and City coordination shall happen prior to release to begin work.

The Contractor shall evaluate the City's Major Thoroughfares Priority Reconstruction Plan and analyze factors that may or may not affect project delivery on-time and within budget and what may maximize the scope of work done within or less than budget, including but not limited to subgrade condition, pavement condition, appropriate construction methods, innovative techniques, utility work, appropriateness of reconstruction versus overlay, etc.

The City has done a fair amount of pre-coordination with utilities but the Contractor will be required to contact and coordinate with the utilities prior final site selection. The sites selected as part of the project will require proper coordination with various City departments and utilities to avoid conflicts of work.

The Contractor will coordinate and make reasonable allowances with utilities to preform advance work to asphalt construction.

Prior to the releasing of the list of approved sites (streets) for work to begin, the City Engineer will facilitate the review and release of each street with the Contractor and related agencies involved or interested in the project.

The priority of selected streets may change due to the analysis and coordination.

9.3.2. CONTRACTOR'S INITIAL OVERALL SUBMITTAL PACKAGE

Upon Notice of Award, but prior to the release of the work on any given street, the Contractor will be required to provide an Initial Overall Submittal Package to the City for review and approval. Each item included in the Initial Overall Submittal Package should be prepared with general content that applies overall to the Contractor's total awarded street project in 2017. The Contractor should not secure materials, resources, or permits for any particular site(s), while the Initial Overall Submittal Package is being reviewed by the City.

Items to be included in the Initial Overall Submittal Package include, but are not limited to:

- a. Overall Quality Control Plan (QCP): The Contractor's Overall QCP is intended to include considerations for performing quality asphalt operations in order to comply with the Contract requirements. The Overall QCP will be required to identify the Contractor's Quality Manager, who will coordinate directly with the City and contact information.
- b. Overall Environmental Plan: The Contractor will be required to submit a comprehensive environmental plan to address the Contractor's approach to managing environmental impacts. The plan will be required to address topics such as air quality and noise, stormwater management, and erosion and sediment control and include a list of anticipated environmental permits required.
- c. Overall Traffic Control Plans: The Contractor's Overall Traffic Control Plan is necessary to coordinate detours, closures, and the necessary signing and barricading in compliance with the City ordinances and regulations. The plan will be required to identify the Contractor's method for providing public outreach and communication regarding notices of street and access closures, communication with property owners, etc. This plan is required to be updated weekly. The plan will identify the Contractor's Traffic Control Supervisor (TCS).
- d. Overall Safety Plan: The Contractor's Overall Safety Plan is required to address the general safety provisions, including approach to protection of property and the general public during the execution of the work. The plan should include the general philosophy towards safety as adapted to work requirements, training, supervision, compliance with laws, and fundamental personnel protection equipment (PPE)

requirements. The name and contact of the Contractor's Safety Representative shall be included.

- e. Design Exceptions: The Contractor shall give a description of which design exceptions, as to those presented in the accepted Proposal, will be used, at what sites, and to what extent.
- f. Overall Project Schedule: The Contractor's Overall Project Schedule shall include milestones for each project site, water line work, third-party utility work, concrete work, and drainage work in addition to the overall deadlines and any other critical work that is schedule sensitive.
- g. Additional Permits: The Contractor will be required to provide a list of additional permits anticipated for the Contractor's assigned work.

9.3.3. SITES RELEASED FOR WORK AND NOTICE TO PROCEED

Upon the City's release of the approved sites and approval of the Contractor's Initial Overall Submittal Package, the City will provide written Notice to Proceed to the Contractor. At this time, the Contractor will be authorized to begin planning individual site projects and associated project-specific submittals.

Under the overall direction of the City Engineer, the Contractor will be required to coordinate with other entities throughout the course of the work. Some of the entities include, but are not limited to:

- City departments
- Utilities
- Property owners
- General public
- Business owners

The Contractor will be required to attend mandatory weekly meetings at City Hall and provide a written schedule for the following seven days and a report of work completed for the previous seven days.

Before beginning an individual street project, each item in the Initial Overall Submittal Package, will be required to be updated and supplemented with detail that uniquely applies to each individual site.

9.4 CONTRACT DESIGN AND DRAWINGS

The Contract Drawings are Contract requirements. Subject to the Contractor's right to a Change Order set forth in the Contract, with respect to necessary design changes, the

Proposer has sole responsibility for reviewing the standards and assessing its adequacy or inadequacy to meet the Contract requirements.

The Contractor is required to conform to the City Standard Construction Specifications except to the extent incorporated in the scope, and to the extent specifically incorporated in the Contract Documents.

http://www.canoncity.org/departments/streets_stormwater_and_engineering/standard_construction_specifications.php

Regardless of the level of completion or suitability of any portion of the City standards, the Contractor shall be solely responsible for Project design and the City shall have no liability or obligation as a result of design work contained in the Reference Documents. The Reference Documents are provided solely for Proposer's reference and are without representation or warranty by the CITY, unless specifically stated otherwise.

The Contractor shall supply all survey necessary to design and construct the improvements, as well as produce as-built drawings per City specifications.

All designs provided by the Contractor shall be completed under the responsible charge of a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Colorado. The designs and plans shall be sealed in accordance with the bylaws and rules of procedure of the Colorado State Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Professional Land Surveyors by the responsible engineer in charge.

Geotechnical investigations shall be provided and made available to the CITY with the design documents. The Contractor shall, prior to submitting its final design with prudent and generally accepted engineering and construction practices, review the boring logs and undertaken other appropriate activities sufficient to familiarize itself with surface conditions and subsurface conditions affecting the Project, to the extent the Contractor deemed necessary or advisable for submittal of a design. As a result of such review, inspection, examination and other activities, the Contractor shall be familiar with and accept the physical requirements of the Work. The City acknowledges and agrees that conditions at individual sites may not be suitable for the CITY standard, and that the Contractor may be entitled to Change Order.

Before commencing any Work on a particular aspect of the Project, the Contractor shall verify all governing dimensions and conditions at the Site and shall examine all adjoining work, which may have an impact on such Work. The Contractor shall be responsible for ensuring that the Design Documents and Construction Documents accurately depict all governing and adjoining dimensions and conditions.

The Contractor shall be responsible for any supplemental subsurface investigation necessary to complete the Work. All supplemental investigations made by the Contractor shall be documented and submitted to the CITY.

For any innovative pavement solutions offered by the Contractor and accepted by the CITY, it shall be the Contractor's entire responsibility to provide adequate design and quality assurance to meet the Project expectations.

9.5 PLAN & DESIGN SPECIFICATION SETS

Ten sets of all drawings shall be provided on both 11X17 inch and 24X36 sheets of appropriate scale and be single-sided of both design and as-built drawings.

Provide color coded construction phasing plans for each major phase change to traffic that involves lane shifts, crossovers, closure of lanes, cross street and access impacts, and other roads. All traffic control plans shall be in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and approved by the City Engineer.

Plans should include the closure of any and all streets and demonstrate the ability to maintain the minimum required access at all times. Plans should show proposed closure of cross streets, accesses and other roads and planned detour routes.

Provide Plan and Profile drawings of the proposed water line work, pavement reconstruction and overlay with all geometric data clearly displayed.

The drawings should display all major Work elements within the project including fittings, restraints, valves, service connections, concrete work and utility work.

Water line work shall be depicted on separate plan sheets labeled separately.

Drawings shall show the following items at a minimum:

- a. All project construction within the project limits
- b. Existing topography (aerial is acceptable)
- c. Lane configuration plan for streets and cross streets
- d. Traffic control plans
- e. Quantity tabulations/summaries
- f. Modifications and improvements
- g. Areas of reconstruction and/or overlay
- h. Drainage/irrigation structures
- i. Curb, gutter, sidewalks
- j. Handicap ramp details
- k. Fence lines
- l. Borrow/drainage ditches
- m. Major utility locations and relocations
- n. Horizontal alignments, vertical profiles, control lines, stationing, geometry, water quality BMPs, and major drainage elements.
- o. Design Exceptions required to implement the proposed improvements.
- p. Typical Cross Sections
- q. Stormwater management plan including permanent and construction BMPs.

- r. Details of all additional work the Contractor determines necessary to complete the Work.

Ten sets of all design specifications 8.5X11 inch bound.

9.6 CONSTRUCTION

The Contractor shall comply with the rules and regulations of the CITY or the instructions of its representatives in relation to the proper manner of protecting the city infrastructure during the period of construction.

The Contractor shall comply with the City of Cañon City Standard Construction Specifications and project specifications.

9.7 DOCUMENT DELIVERABLES

Geotechnical Documentation	Within 7 days of receipt
Quality Control Documentation	Within 30 days after work completion
Progress Meeting Minutes	Within 4 working days after meeting
Construction Documents	Two weeks prior to work starting
60% Design Documents	Two weeks prior to utility work starting
90% Design Documents	Two weeks prior to street work starting
Final Design Documents	Within two weeks of work being completed
As-built Documents	Before final payment
Utility As-built Documents	Within 30 days after work being completed

10 PROPOSAL & CONTRACT FORMS

11 SPECIFICATIONS & MAJOR THOROUGHFARES PRIORITY RECONSTRUCTION PLAN

11.1. SPECIFICATIONS

The City Standard Construction Specifications are to be used for baseline preliminary design, analysis, and pricing for proposals with the following exceptions:

- Pavement shall be Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) – Grading SX/PG 64-22 Binder.
- Pavement section shall be 5-inches thick minimum asphalt, 13-inches thick minimum Class 6 base course, on Geotextile Fabric (Tytar 3801 or US SF65).
- Shoulders shall be a minimum of 5-feet wide and constructed with Class 6 base course per City Standard Specification Detail S-10.
- Pavement final profile shall provide a minimum of 2% cross-slope.

All the streets listed in the Major Thoroughfares Priority Reconstruction Plan have an AADT exceeding 2500 vehicles.

The proposers are encouraged to provide Alternative Technical Concepts (ATCs), construction methods, and innovative pavement solutions that will advance the construction schedule, increase the scope of work within budget, and extend the life of the pavement. It is assumed that streets with higher condition indexes will be repaired and overlaid rather than reconstructed.

11.2. CURB, GUTTER & DRAIN PANS

Existing curb, gutter, and drain pans shall be replaced before pavement overlay or reconstruction if there are extreme variances in grade that will affect the final “ride” of the pavement or if there is ponding of any depth in the gutter or drain pan.

If the City directs the Contractor to install curb and gutter where none exists then this shall be paid for out of contingency.

11.3. CURB RAMPS

Existing curb ramps that do not meet current specifications shall be reconstructed prior to paving. Where no curb ramps currently exist, new ramps shall be installed prior to paving. Curb ramps shall be directional per current specifications and shall be surveyed and designed specifically for each unique location.

11.4. DRAINAGE/IRRIGATION CULVERTS

Existing culverts crossing under the pavement shall be replaced in-kind with the same diameter at the current length and slope.

If the City directs the Contractor to install culvert where none exists, or with a larger diameter or longer length than this shall be paid for out of contingency.

11.5. WATER LINES

The City Standard Construction Specifications are to be used for baseline preliminary design, analysis, and pricing for proposals with respect to water line work.

Work shall include the replacement of water main within the limits described as well as replacement of all service lines and meter pits for those affected sections of water main.

11.6. MAJOR THOROUGHFARES PRIORITY RECONSTRUCTION PLAN

Attached is the City's 2018 Priority Reconstruction Plan.

Analysis of street priorities was weighted using the following criteria: pavement condition, roadway classification, traffic volume, utility replacement schedule, surrounding neighborhood, and type of pavement. Other outside factors, such as project coordination, bike routes, utility work, drainage, intersection/mobility issues, future improvement agreements, public improvement districts, complaints, network growth, curb & gutter adjustment or replacement, ADA ramp reconstruction, or storm/drainage repairs or improvements, other community priorities, and safety were also used.

Before the final Site Selection, the Contractor will be required to review and analyze the listed streets with the above criteria while also considering maximizing the construction schedule and maximizing the scope using the ATCs and innovative pavement solutions and construction methods presented in their proposal.

The following streets are the current priority for 2A funds:

PARK AVE – 9TH ST TO 12TH ST
FORGE ROAD – CITY LIMIT TO EVELYN DRIVE
FIELD AVE – CENTRAL AVE TO HIGH STREET
12TH STREET – MACON AVE TO US50

Additional streets using separate funds are:

MAIN STREET – 12TH STREET TO 14TH STREET
CENTENNIAL PARK RD AND PARKING LOT